Construction of Spirocyclopropane-Linked Heterocycles Containing Both Pyrazolones and Oxindoles through Michael/Alkylation Cascade Reactions

Jun-Hua Li, Ting-Fan Feng, and Da-Ming Du*

School of Chemical Engineering and Environment, Beijin[g I](#page-8-0)nstitute of Technology, Beijing 100081, People's Republic of China

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-8-0) An effective diastereoselective Michael/alkylation cascade reaction of arylidenepyrazolones with 3 chlorooxindoles catalyzed by DIPEA was developed. A variety of highly functionalized spiro-pyrazolone-cyclopropane-oxindoles were obtained in excellent yields (up to 99%) with good to excellent diastereoselectivities (up to >25:1 dr). Moreover, the squaramide-catalyzed asymmetric reactions of arylidenepyrazolones with 3-chlorooxindoles afforded the corresponding chiral spirocyclic heterocycles in excellent yields (up to 99%) with moderate diastereoselectivities (up to 87:13 dr) and moderate to high enantioselectivities (up to 74% ee).

■ INTRODUCTION

Pyrazolone skeletons are important structural units which have been proved to have antiinflammatory, antiviral, antitumor, and antibacterial properties. $¹$ For example, the spirocyclic derivative</sup> A has antibacterial activity,^{1c} and the pyrazolone derivative B exhibits HIV i[n](#page-8-0)hibition activity (Figure 1).^{1d} Because of the

excellent biological properties, the synthesis of functionalized pyrazolone skeletons is of great importance in synthetic chemistry, and great efforts have been devoted in recent years.² In particular, many researchers have been attracted by the synthesis of spiropyrazolones, because of their potential phar[ma](#page-8-0)ceutical activities and the significance of synthetic methodology.^{2a,3−11} In 2011, Rios and co-workers reported the synthesis of spiro-cyclohexene pyrazolones through the cascade Mich[ael/Mi](#page-8-0)chael/Aldol reactions.³ Later, the groups of $Wang,4$ $Wang,5$ Enders,⁶ and Peng⁷ also have successfully synthesized the spiro-cyclohexane pyra[zo](#page-8-0)lones through the cascad[e](#page-8-0) reactio[ns](#page-8-0). Furthe[rm](#page-8-0)ore, Wang⁸ and Yuan⁹ reported two kinds of spiro-pyrrolidine pyrazolones through the cascade reactions of isothiocyanato comp[ou](#page-8-0)nds wit[h](#page-8-0) unsaturated

pyrazolones. Most recently, our group also reported the synthesis of spiropyrazolone tetrahydroquinolines through the cascade aza-Michael/Michael addition of 2-tosylaminoenones to unsaturated pyrazolones.¹⁰ Furthermore, other groups also have conducted good research on the synthesis of the highly valuable spiropyrazolones. 11 [A](#page-8-0)lthough many kinds of spiropyrazolones have been effectively established, we are not aware of any reports on construc[tin](#page-8-0)g spirocyclopropane heterocycles containing both the pyrazolones and the oxindoles.

The spirocyclopropane heterocycles are a privileged structural core of natural products that exhibit important biological and medicinal activity.¹² Moreover, they also serve as valuable synthetic intermediates for a wide range of organic compounds.¹³ In particular, th[e s](#page-8-0)piro-cyclopropane-oxindoles have emerged as compounds with a wide spectrum of significant b[iol](#page-8-0)ogical activity (Figure 1), 14 and many researchers have been attracted by the synthesis of spiro-cyclopropaneoxindoles.15,16a,b Thus, the developme[nt](#page-8-0) of efficient strategies for the construction of spiro-pyrazolone-cyclopropane-oxindoles wit[h seve](#page-8-0)ral privileged motifs integrated together is valuable for the structural diversity of spiropyrazolones and spirooxindoles, as well as for the discovery of new drugs.

Herein, we would like to present an efficient DIPEAcatalyzed Michael/alkylation cascade reaction of 3-chlorooxindoles with arylidenepyrazolones for the construction of highly functionalized spiro-pyrazolone-cyclopropane-oxindoles in excellent yields (up to 99%) with excellent diastereoselectivities (up to >25:1 dr). Moreover, the asymmetric version of this Michael/alkylation cascade reaction has also been realized with the squaramide catalyst. The corresponding chiral spiro-

Received: August 19, 2015 Published: October 22, 2015

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Article 30 and 200 an

heterocyclic products with three contiguous stereocenters, including two vicinal quaternary centers, were obtained in excellent yields (up to 99%) with moderate diastereoselectivities (up to 87:13 dr) and moderate to high enantioselectivities (up to 74% ee).

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initially, we examined the model reaction employing arylidenepyrazolone 1a and 3-chlorooxindole 2a as the substrates in dichloromethane at room temperature under different bases (Table 1, entries 1−7). The bases were used as

Table 1. Optimization of the Cascade Reaction Conditions^a

 a Reactions were carried out with arylidenepyrazolone 1a (0.11 mmol), 3-chlorooxindole 2a (0.10 mmol), and 100 mol % base in solvent (0.5 mL) at room temperature. ^bIsolated yield after column chromatography purification. ^cDetermined by chiral HPLC analysis.

both the catalysts and an acid absorbent (because hydrogen chloride was also formed in this cascade reaction). We found that the reaction proceeded swiftly under the catalysis of the potassium carbonate, Et_3N or DIPEA, and the product 3a was obtained in excellent yield with good diastereoselectivity (Table 1, entries 1, 4, and 6). Because of the weak alkalinity, when sodium bicarbonate was used as the catalyst, 3a was obtained only in 17% yield (Table 1, entry 3). In view of the yields and tiny differences in the diastereoselectivity, the tertiary amine catalysis of DIPEA was better than that of K_2CO_3 , Na₂CO₃, $NaHCO₃$, Et₃N, and DBU. Subsequently, we attempted to optimize the reaction conditions by screening of different solvents (Table 1, entries 8−13). As can be seen, the similar results were obtained in different solvents. In consideration of tiny differences in the diastereoselectivity, dichloromethane was the best solvent. The diastereoselectivities in Table 1 were measured by chiral HPLC, and four chromatographic peaks of two diastereoisomers appeared. The tiny differences in diastereoselectivity can be distinguished using the HPLC technique. The diastereoselectivities were determined by the ratio of the sum of the two peak areas of the major diasteroisomer to the sum of two peak areas of the minor

diastereoisomer. The same analytical technique for the diastereoselectivities was used in Tables $3-5$ (vide infra).

Having established the optimal reaction conditions, the scope of this cascade reaction was ex[plored w](#page-3-0)it[h](#page-4-0) a wide array of arylidenepyrazolones 1 and 3-chlorooxindoles 2, and the results are summarized in Table 2. In general, all the reactions proceeded well to afford the desired products in good to excellent yields (75−[99%\) w](#page-2-0)ith good to excellent diastereoselectivities (5:1 to >25:1 dr). The diastereoisomeric ratios in Table 2 were determined by NMR analysis of the proton integration of the cyclopropane ring in the corresponding [products](#page-2-0) 3. The effect of substituents on 3-chlorooxindoles was first explored (Table 2, entries 1−6), and the corresponding products 3a−f were obtained in excellent yields (99%). As for the diastereose[lectivity,](#page-2-0) when the 3-chlorooxindoles contain a 4-Cl or 4-Br substituent on R^4 , excellent diastereoselectivity (>25:1 dr) was obtained (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). However, when the 3-chlorooxindoles contain a substituent on other positions, only moderate [diastere](#page-2-0)oselectivities were obtained (5:1 to 5.9:1 dr) (Table 2, entries 1−4 and 7).

Evidence suggests that the steric effect of 4-Cl or 4-Br improves the dias[tereosele](#page-2-0)ctivities of the products 3e and 3f. Therefore, the 3,4-dichloroindolin-2-one (2e) was further used to perform reactions with arylidenepyrazolones. Afterward, further exploration of the substrate scope was focused on arylidenepyrazolones (Table 2, entries 8−22). It appeared that the electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents at different positions on [arylide](#page-2-0)nepyrazolones were compatible under the optimized reaction conditions and provided the corresponding products 3h−v in good to excellent yields (75− 99%) with moderate to excellent diastereoselectivities (6:1 to >25:1 dr). Among them, the lowest diastereoselectivity was obtained by product 3p (Table 2, entry 16), which indicated that the diastereoselectivity could be reduced by the steric effect of the substituent on the *[ortho](#page-2-0)* position of the $R¹$ group. The single crystal of compound 3g was obtained by recrystallization from petroleum ether/acetone, and the relative configuration of the major diastereoisomer was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis (see the Supporting Information).

As shown in Scheme 1, a plausible reaction mechanism for the synthesis of racemic [spiro-pyrazolone-cyclop](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01940/suppl_file/jo5b01940_si_002.pdf)ropane-oxindoles has bee[n propos](#page-2-0)ed. The 3-chlorooxindoles 2 is deprotonated by the base to form enolate A. The Michael addition of enolate A with arylidenepyrazolones 1 to form the intermediate **B** through Re face attack at the β -carbon of the Michael acceptor, followed by an intramolecular nucleophilic substitution of chloride, to furnish the final spirocyclopropane product 3 as the major diastereomer. The C α -C β single bond of enolate B could rotate 180° before cyclization and afford the minor diastereomer 3′, whose relative configuration is proposed by one NOESY spectra of 3g diastereomers (see the Supporting Information). A very fast cyclization results in the formation of only two diastereomers. In the Michael add[ition step, the dipoles](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01940/suppl_file/jo5b01940_si_002.pdf) of two amide carbonyls in two heterocycles will be oriented to opposite directions to reduce the repulsion, and the trans-selectivity is thus obtained. Meanwhile, if the Michael addition step is from Si face attack, enantiomer ent-3 will be formed as major diastereomer. As can be seen from the intermediate **B**, both the positions of R^3 group and $R⁴$ group have high steric hindrance compared with other positions. Therefore, when the spirocyclopropane is formed, the groups of R^4 and R^3 will turn to the different directions because of the steric hindrance, which can be verified from the

Table 2. Substrate Scope of the DIPEA-Catalyzed Cascade Reaction^a

a
Reaction conditions: a mixture of arylidenepyrazolones 1 (0.22 mmol), 3-chlorooxindoles 2 (0.20 mmol), and DIPEA (100 mol %) in CH2Cl2 (1.0 nucleus contained at room temperature for 12–36 h. ^bRacemate. ^cIsolated yield after column chromatography purification. ^dDetermined by NMR analysis.

Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism for the Synthesis of Spiro-pyrazolone-cyclopropane-oxindoles

X-ray single crystal structure of 3g (see the Supporting Information). In particular, when the 3-chlorooxindoles 2 are substituted at the C-4 position, the product diaster[eoselectivity](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01940/suppl_file/jo5b01940_si_002.pdf) [is better \(up](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01940/suppl_file/jo5b01940_si_002.pdf) to >25:1dr).

To expand the synthetic potential of this cascade reaction system, we further explored the application of this reaction in asymmetric synthesis. In the past few years, bifunctional squaramide catalysts have been proved to be effective in

various asymmetric reactions, 17 and we believe that squaramides would play a positive role in this reaction. Initially, the model reaction of 1a and 2a [wa](#page-8-0)s performed in CH_2Cl_2 in the presence of 5 mol % catalyst loading at room temperature under different bases and organocatalysts (Figure 2), and the screening results are given in Table 3. First, when using squaramide I as catalyst with no base adde[d into the](#page-3-0) reaction, the chiral product 4a was obtai[ned in lo](#page-3-0)w yield (26%) with

Figure 2. Structures of screened organocatalysts.

Table 3. Screening of Bases and Organocatalysts for the Asymmetric Cascade Reaction^a

a Reaction conditions: unless noted otherwise, a mixture of 1a (0.11 mmol), 2a (0.10 mmol), and base (100 mol %) in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for the indicated time. $\frac{b}{b}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography purification. "Determined by chiral $HPLC$ analysis. d_{30} mo% K_2CO_3 was used.

moderate enantioselectivity (Table 3, entry 1). Then, K_2CO_3 was used with 30 and 100 mol %, respectively, as a kind of acid absorbent. The product yield was enhanced at the same time, but the enantioselectivity was reduced (Table 3, entries 2 and 3). As can be seen from the above results, the hydrogen chloride produced by this cascade reaction could greatly reduce the product yield, and 100 mol % base was needed as the acid absorbent (as shown in Scheme 1, the hydrogen chloride was formed after Michael/alkylation cascade reaction). At the same time, the base could ca[talyze this](#page-2-0) reaction smoothly, so the background reaction seems unavoidable. This cascade reaction in the asymmetric version was a real challenge.

Afterward, a series of bases and chiral organocatalysts were evaluated (Table 3, entries 3−14). Generally, similar yields and diastereoselectivities were obtained with slightly different

enantioselectivities. Better enantioselectivities were obtained when the inorganic bases were used rather than organic bases in this asymmetric reaction (Table 3, entries 3−8). According to the theoretical analysis and the observation during our experiment, this result is partly attributed to the different solubilities between inorganic bases and organic bases. When the organic bases were used, they could be more easily dissolved in the organic solvent than inorganic bases, and the background reaction would start before the chiral organocatalysts playing a catalytic role. Several other squaramide and other bifunctional chiral organocatalysts II−VII were also screened to evaluate their ability to promote the reaction in the presence of 5 mol % catalyst loading at room temperature in dichloromethane with 100 mol % K₂CO₃ (Table 3, entries 9– 14). In comprehensive consideration of the yield, diastereoselectivity, and enantioselectivity, the combination of K_2CO_3 and catalyst II gave the best results and was chosen for further optimization (Table 3, entry 9).

With the optimal organocatalyst and acid absorbent in hand, we further investigated the effect of several pure or mixed solvents on this reaction (Table 4, entries 1−12). Generally, with the enhancement of solvent polarity, the enantioselectivity of product 4a was incre[ased, and](#page-4-0) excellent yield was also obtained. Acetonitrile was observed to be the best solvent for this asymmetric cascade reaction (Table 4, entry 10). A completely racemic product was obtained when one-third water was added into $CH₃CN$ (Table 4, ent[ry 11\). W](#page-4-0)hen the catalyst loading was increased to 10 mol %, to our surprise, no better enantioselectivity was ob[tained \(](#page-4-0)Table 4, entry 13). Reducing the catalyst loading also could not improve the enantioselectivity (Table 4, entry 14). Th[en, the te](#page-4-0)mperature effect was also evaluated (Table 4, entries 15−16). When the temperature was drop[ped to](#page-4-0) −15 °C, the enantioselectivity of product 4a was increased f[rom 74%](#page-4-0) to 81% ee, but the diastereoselectivity was decreased to 60:40 dr. Consequently, the optimal reaction conditions for this asymmetric cascade reaction were obtained: with 5 mol % of catalyst II and 100 mol % K_2CO_3 in CH_3CN at room temperature.

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, the scope of the reaction was investigated by varying the substituents on both arylidenepyrazolones and 3-chlorooxindoles. First, when 3-chlorooxindole 2a was used, various arylidenepyrazolones were tested (Table 5, entries 1−5). Generally, excellent yields (98−99%), moderate to good enantioselectivities, (47−74% ee) and similar [diastereo](#page-4-0)selectivities (84:16 to 87:13 dr) were obtained for all of the enantiomeric diastereomers. Nevertheless, when the $R³$ substituent was changed from methyl to phenyl, three pairs of enantiomers were generated and the corresponding mixture was too complex and could not be separated for further characterization. This phenomenon may be ascribed to the steric effect of the phenyl substituent. Further exploration of the substrate scope was focused on the 3-chlorooxindoles 2 (Table 5, entries 6−8). It was found that excellent yields were maintained (91−98%), but the enantioselectivity be[came low](#page-4-0)er (40−54% ee). It is worth mentioning that, when the 3,4-dichloroindolin-2-one (2e) or 4 bromo-3-chloroindolin-2-one (2f) was used as the substrate, the diastereoselectivities obtained using DIPEA as catalyst (Table 2, entries 5 and 6) were higher than using chiral organocatalyst II (Table 5, entries 6 and 7). This phenomenon i[llustrated](#page-2-0) that the combination mode between the chiral organocatalyst an[d substr](#page-4-0)ate may has a certain degree of contradiction with the optimal conformation.

Table 4. Optimization of the Asymmetric Cascade Reaction Conditions^a

^aReaction conditions: unless noted otherwise, a mixture of 1a (0.11 mmol), 2a (0.10 mmol), catalyst II, and K_2CO_3 (100 mol %) in solvent (0.5 mL) was stirred for 12 h. ^bIsolated yield after column chromatography purification. ^cDetermined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^{*d*}Reaction for 18 h.

Table 5. Substrate Scope of the Asymmetric Cascade Reaction^a

a
Reaction conditions: a mixture of 1 (0.11 mmol), 2 (0.10 mmol), and catalyst II (5 mol %) in CH₃CN (0.5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 12−36 h. ^b Isolated yield after column chromatography purification. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^d Determined by NMR spectroscopy analysis.

■ CONCLUSION

In summary, we have successfully developed a new DIPEAcatalyzed diastereoselective cascade reaction of arylidenepyrazolones with 3-chlorooxindoles, and the corresponding cascade Michael/alkylation products were obtained in excellent yields (up to 99%) with high to excellent diastereoselectivities (up to >25:1 dr). This reaction provided a facile and straightforward access to highly functionalized spiro-pyrazolone-cyclopropane-oxindole derivatives which contain vicinal quaternary carbons constrained in a highly substituted cyclopropane ring. Moreover, an asymmetric version of this Michael/alkylation reaction has also been realized with the squaramide catalyst. The corresponding chiral spirocyclic

heterocycles with three contiguous stereocenters, including two vicinal quaternary centers, were obtained in excellent yields (up to 99%) with moderate diastereoselectivities (up to 87:13 dr) and moderate to high enantioselectivities (up to 74% ee).

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

 \mathbf{H}

General Information. Commercially available compounds were used without further purification. Column chromatography was carried out using silica gel (200−300 mesh). Melting points were measured with a melting point apparatus without correction. The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded with a 400 MHz spectrometer, while 13 C NMR spectra were recorded at 100 MHz. Infrared spectra were obtained with an FT-IR spectrometer. The high-resolution ESI-MS spectra were obtained with a Fourier transform mass spectrometer. Optical

rotations were measured with a polarimeter at the indicated concentration with the units of g per 100 mL. The enantiomeric excesses of the products were determined by chiral HPLC on Daicel Chiralpak AD-H or IB columns.

Materials. Substrates 1^{8b} and 3-chlorooxindoles 2^{16} were synthesized by following the reported procedures. The squaramide organocatalysts were prepare[d by](#page-8-0) following the report[ed](#page-8-0) procedures.¹

General Procedure for DIPEA-Catalyzed Cascade Reaction of Arylidenepyrazolones with 3-Chlorooxindoles. To a v[ial](#page-8-0) containing arylidenepyrazolones 1 (0.22 mmol) and DIPEA (25.9 mg, 0.2 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL) was added 3-chlorooxindoles 2 (0.2 mmol) at room temperature. The resulting reaction mixture was kept under vigorous stirring until the consumption of 3-chlorooxindoles 2 (monitored by TLC analysis). After completion of the reaction, the crude product was column chromatographed on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc 3:1) to give the corresponding products 3 as mixtures of diastereomers for which NMR data for the major isomer only were provided.

According to this General Procedure. 2,4-Dihydro-2,3′ diphenyl-5-methyl-2″-oxo-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″ indolin]-3-one $(3a)$. Obtained as a white solid $(78.0 \text{ mg}, 99\% \text{ yield})$, mp 126−132 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.15 (s, 1H), 7.81 (dd, J₁ = 1.0 Hz, J₂ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.33–7.29 (m, 5H), 7.19–7.06 (m, 5H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.57 (s, 1H), 2.52 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.0, 165.3, 156.5, 141.3, 138.0, 130.3, 130.2, 128.9, 128.7, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 124.9, 121.4, 120.2, 118.9, 109.4, 49.5, 49.1, 39.4, 17.8 ppm. IR (KBr): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 3260, 3059, 3029, 1711, 1620, 1594, 1499, 1469, 1393, 1367, 1335, 1296, 1121, 1025, 906, 737, 692 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{20}N_3O_2$ [M + H]+ 394.15500, found 394.15518.

2,4-Dihydro-2,3′-diphenyl-6″-fluoro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-dispiro- [pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3b). Obtained as a white solid (82.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 129−134 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.02 (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.35–7.31 (m, 5H), 7.15−7.05 (m, 4H), 6.58−6.50 (m, 2H), 4.54 (s, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.2, 165.3, 163.3 (¹J_{C−F} $= -246.5$ Hz), 156.5, 142.6 (${}^{3}J_{C-F} = 11.8$ Hz), 138.0, 131.7 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C-F} =$ 9.4 Hz), 130.3, 128.7, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 125.1, 118.9, 115.5 (4 J_{C−F} = 2.9 Hz), 108.0 $(^{2}J_{C-F} = 21.6$ Hz), 98.0 $(^{2}J_{C-F} = 27.0$ Hz), 49.2, 49.0, 39.3, 17.7 ppm. IR (KBr): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 3236, 3006, 2990, 1735, 1713, 1624, 1596, 1499, 1460, 1367, 1297, 1276, 1261, 1144, 1120, 964, 841, 750, 693 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for C₂₅H₁₉FN₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 412.14558, found 412.14531; m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{18}FN_3N_4O_2$ [M + Na]+ 434.12753, found 434.12695.

6″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-2,3′-diphenyl-5-methyl-2″-oxo-dispiro- [pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3c). Obtained as a white solid (85.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 135−139 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.19 (s, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.34–7.28 (m, 5H), 7.13 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.08−7.05 (m, 3H), 6.82−6.80 (m, 2H), 4.56 (s, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.0, 165.2, 156.4, 142.3, 137.9, 135.0, 131.1, 130.2, 128.7, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 125.1, 121.5, 119.0, 118.5, 110.0, 49.2, 49.1, 39.4, 17.7 ppm. IR (KBr): $ν_{max}$ 3006, 2989, 1733, 1711, 1613, 1595, 1498, 1485, 1447, 1327, 1276, 1261, 1200, 1128, 920, 764, 750, 725, 691 cm⁻¹ . HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{19}C/N_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 428.11603, found 428.11580; calcd. for $\rm{C_{25}H_{18}CN_3NaO_2}$ $\rm{[M+Na]^+}$ 450.09798, found 450.09800.

6″-Bromo-2,4-dihydro-2,3′-diphenyl-5-methyl-2″-oxo-dispiro- [pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one $(3d)$. Obtained as a white solid (94.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 124−127 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.96 (s, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.35–7.29 (m, 5H), 7.13 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06−7.04 (m, 2H), 6.98 (s, 3H), 4.56 (s, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.7, 165.2, 156.4, 142.4, 137.9, 131.4, 130.2, 128.7, 128.30, 128.27, 127.7, 125.1, 124.5, 123.0, 119.1, 119.0, 112.8, 49.12, 49.11, 39.4, 17.7 ppm. IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3006, 2989, 1733, 1712, 1609, 1596, 1498, 1480, 1448, 1366, 1276, 1261, 1130, 907, 764, 750, 691 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{19}BrN_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 472.06552, found 472.06504; m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{18}BrN_3NaO_2$ [M + Na]⁺ 494.04746, found 494.04753.

4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-2,3′-diphenyl-5-methyl-2″-oxo-dispiro- [pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3e). Obtained as a white solid (85.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 139−142 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 10.6 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.50–7.44 $(m, 3H)$, 7.30−7.22 $(m, 5H)$, 7.03−7.01 $(m, 2H)$, 6.84 $(d, J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.47 (s, 1H), 2.09 (s, 3H) ppm. 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO d_6 : δ 171.1, 159.2, 144.1, 143.2, 137.5, 134.6, 133.4, 131.4, 129.5, 128.23, 128.16, 127.8, 125.4, 123.1, 122.9, 117.2, 109.3, 104.7, 101.5, 50.0, 13.3 ppm. IR (KBr): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 3005, 2987, 1737, 1692, 1616, 1533, 1499, 1453, 1276, 1261, 1169, 1149, 883, 764, 751, 691 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{19}C/N_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 428.11603, found 428.11556.

4″-Bromo-2,4-dihydro-2,3′-diphenyl-5-methyl-2″-oxo-dispiro- [pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3f). Obtained as a white solid (94.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 146−151 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 10.51 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.38−7.34 (m, 2H), 7.29−7.24 (m, 4H), 7.00 (t, J = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 6.88−6.83 (m, 1H), 5.47 (s, 1H), 2.08 (s, 3H) ppm. 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 171.1, 159.3, 144.3, 143.1, 137.5, 134.5, 133.5, 129.5, 128.2, 128.1, 127.8, 126.1, 125.4, 124.5, 119.9, 117.2, 109.6, 104.7, 102.0, 50.0, 13.3 ppm. IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3006, 2988, 1743, 1614, 1583, 1538, 1505, 1446, 1374, 1276, 1261, 1167, 764, 750, 697 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{19}BrN_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 472.06552, found 472.06485.

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-3′-phenyldispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3g). Obtained as a white solid (81.7 mg, 95% yield), mp 129−133 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 9.92 (s, 1H), 7.88–7.84 (m, 2H), 7.37−7.31 (m, 5H), 7.21 (dt, $J_1 = 1.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.17-7.15 $(m, 2H)$, 7.09 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H,), 6.96 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (dt, $J_1 = 1.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.63 (s, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 174.3, 167.4, 159.4, 144.7, 139.3, 132.4, 132.0, 131.7, 130.8, 130.7, 130.5, 130.4, 129.8, 129.5, 122.1, 121.3, 111.2, 51.8, 50.9, 41.0, 19.0 ppm. IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3006, 2990, 1712, 1619, 1593, 1491, 1470, 1365, 1335, 1294, 1276, 1261, 1091, 827, 764, 750, 696 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{19}CIN_3O_2$ $[M + H]$ ⁺ 428.11603, found 428.11541.

4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-3′-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-methyl-2″-oxo-2 phenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3h). Obtained as a white solid (89.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 157−160 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.59 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.24–7.16 (m, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06−7.02 (m, 2H), 6.87 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.59 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (s, 1H), 2.12 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.6, 162.5 (${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = -245.6 Hz), 159.8, 143.8, 142.6, 137.9, 132.6, 130.6 (${}^{4}J_{C-F}$ = 3.1 Hz), 130.0 (${}^{3}J_{C-F}$ = 8.1 Hz), 129.2, 125.5, 124.2, 123.9, 118.3, 115.3 $(^{2}J_{C-F} = 21.4 \text{ Hz}$), 109.1, 104.9, 101.48, 101.47, 50.29, 13.5 ppm. IR (KBr): νmax 3006, 2989, 1746, 1729, 1618, 1589, 1539, 1508, 1453, 1386, 1276, 1261, 1170, 838, 764, 750, 688 cm⁻¹. . HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{18}CIFN_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 446.10661, found 446.10545.

4″-Chloro-3′-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-2 phenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3i). Obtained as a white solid (92.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 142−¹⁴⁵ °C. ¹ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.75 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.23–7.15 (m, 4H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 6.58 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H) ppm. 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.5, 159.9, 143.8, 142.6, 137.8, 133.9, 133.5, 132.6, 132.5, 129.7, 129.2, 128.5, 125.5, 124.2, 123.8, 118.3, 109.2, 104.6, 101.4, 50.3, 13.4 ppm. IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3005, 2987, 1739, 1617, 1592, 1574, 1535, 1491, 1453, 1276, 1168, 1149, 1091, 833, 764, 751, 689 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{18}Cl_2N_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 462.07706, found 462.07547.

3′-(4-Bromophenyl)-4″-chloro-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-2 phenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3j). Obtained as a white solid (101.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 152−¹⁵⁶ °C. ¹ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.60 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.39−7.31 (m, 4H), 7.26−7.16 (m, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.95 $(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 6.60 $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 5.39 $(s, 1H)$, 2.12 $(s,$ 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.4, 159.9, 143.8, 142.5,

137.9, 134.1, 132.65, 132.59, 131.5, 130.1, 129.2, 125.5, 124.3, 123.9, 122.2, 118.3, 109.2, 104.5, 101.3, 50.4, 13.5 ppm. IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3006, 2989, 1742, 1617, 1593, 1535, 1502, 1488, 1453, 1386, 1310, 1276, 1261, 1168, 1011, 751, 689 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{18}BrClN_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 506.02654, found 506.02547.

4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-2-phenyl-3′-(p-tolyl) dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3k). Obtained as a white solid (88.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 154−157 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.52 (s, 1H), 7.71 (dd, J₁ = 0.8 Hz, J₂ = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.22–7.15 (m, 2H), 7.08 (dd, J₁ $= 0.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.98–6.92 (m, 4H), 6.59 (dd, $J_1 = 0.6$ Hz, J_2 = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (s, 1H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.7, 159.8, 144.0, 142.7, 138.0, 137.7, 132.5, 132.4, 131.8, 129.1, 129.0, 128.2, 125.3, 124.2, 124.1, 118.2, 109.1, 105.1, 101.6, 50.7, 21.0, 13.5 ppm. IR (KBr): $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3006, 2989, 1740, 1617, 1593, 1534, 1513, 1501, 1452, 1385, 1313, 1298, 1276, 1261, 1169, 1149, 823, 764, 751, 689 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{26}H_{21}CIN_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 442.13168, found 442.13137.

4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-3′-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2″-oxo-2 phenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3l). Obtained as a white solid (83.2 mg, 91% yield), mp 130−¹³⁴ °C. ¹ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.51 (s, 1H), 7.72 (dd, J₁ = 1.0 Hz, J₂ $= 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.23–7.15 (m, 2H), 7.08 (d, J $= 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.70 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.61 $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 5.40 (s, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.8, 159.8, 159.3, 143.9, 142.7, 138.0, 132.5, 132.4, 129.4, 129.1, 126.6, 125.3, 124.2, 124.1, 118.2, 113.7, 109.1, 105.2, 101.6, 54.9, 50.4, 13.5 ppm. IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3005, 2834, 1738, 1615, 1595, 1534, 1511, 1452, 1385, 1302, 1276, 1250, 1170, 1033, 834, 752, 689, 670 cm[−]¹ . HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{26}H_{21}CIN_3O_3$ [M + H]⁺ 458.12660, found 458.12543.

4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-3′-(4-nitrophenyl)-2″-oxo-2 phenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3m). Obtained as a white solid (93.6 mg, 99% yield), mp 148−151 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 10.7 (s, 1H), 8.19 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H),7.68 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.51–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.28−7.24 (m, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.60 (s, 1H), 2.12 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 170.8, 159.3, 147.2, 143.8, 143.2, 142.9, 137.4, 133.6, 131.5, 129.7, 129.5, 125.5, 123.4, 123.3, 122.7, 117.3, 109.5, 104.2, 101.2, 49.5, 13.3 ppm. IR (KBr): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 3066, 2927, 1739, 1615, 1593, 1518, 1500, 1453, 1346, 1310, 1260, 1168, 1150, 857, 834, 754, 690, 668 cm[−]¹ . HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{18}CIN_4O_4 [M + H]^+$ 473.10111, found 473.09975.

4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-3′-(3-nitrophenyl)-2″-oxo-2 phenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3n). Obtained as a white solid (94.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 139−144 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.56 (s, 1H), 8.07–8.04 (m, 1H), 7.96 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.40−7.36 (m, 4H), 7.28−7.18 (m, 2H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (s, 1H), 2.13 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.1, 160.0, 148.2, 143.6, 142.3, 137.7, 137.6, 134.5, 133.1, 132.6, 129.4, 129.2, 125.7, 124.5, 123.5, 123.3, 123.2, 118.3, 109.4, 104.0, 101.1, 50.4, 13.5 ppm. IR (KBr): νmax 3006, 2989, 1745, 1617, 1594, 1530, 1501, 1453, 1349, 1276, 1261, 1171, 926, 764, 750, 706 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{18}CN_4O_4 [M + H]^+$ 473.10111, found 473.10058.

4″-Chloro-3′-(2-chlorophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-2 phenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3o). Obtained as a white solid (92.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 80−84 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 + CDCl₃): δ 10.71 (s, 1H), 7.68 (dd, J₁ = 0.8 Hz, J_2 = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.51–7.31 (m, 7H), 7.26 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (dd, J₁ = 0.8 Hz, J₂ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.83 (s, 1H), 2.03 (s, 3H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6 + CDCl3): δ 170.6, 159.3, 144.2, 143.0, 137.4, 133.2, 132.6, 131.6, 131.3, 129.6, 129.5, 129.1, 128.8, 126.8, 125.4, 123.9, 122.9, 117.2, 109.3, 104.7, 100.9, 46.6, 13.2 ppm. IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3006, 2989, 1740, 1615, 1593, 1533, 1499, 1452, 1387, 1305, 1276, 1261, 1169, 1149, 1049, 1024, 1004, 951, 884, 764, 750, 690 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{18}Cl_2N_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 462.07706, found 462.07659.

3′-(2-Bromophenyl)-4″-chloro-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-2 phenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3p).

Obtained as a white solid (101.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 78−80 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 10.71 (s, 1H), 7.69 (dd, J₁ = 1.0 Hz, J₂ $= 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.53 (dd, J₁ = 1.2 Hz, J₂ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.44 (m, 3H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.21 (m, 2H), 7.16 (dd, $J_1 = 0.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.84 (dd, $J_1 = 0.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.81 (s, 1H), 2.01 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 170.6, 159.2, 144.3, 142.9, 137.4, 134.2, 133.2, 132.5, 132.0, 131.5, 129.9, 129.5, 127.3, 125.4, 123.9, 123.7, 122.9, 117.2, 109.3, 105.1, 100.9, 48.8, 13.1 ppm. IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3006, 2989, 1733, 1615, 1594, 1535, 1499, 1453, 1372, 1276, 1261, 1170, 1045, 1026, 883, 764, 750, 690 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for C₂₅H₁₈BrClN₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 506.02654, found 506.02557.

4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-3′-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2″-oxo-2 phenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1'-cyclopropane-3',3"-indolin]-3-one (3q). Obtained as a white solid (91.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 134−138 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.69 (s, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 3H), 7.18–7.08 (m, 3H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.65 (s, 1H), 3.16 (s, 3H), 2.19 (s, 3H) ppm. 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 172.9, 159.7, 157.3, 143.9, 142.8, 138.1, 132.0, 131.5, 129.8, 129.1120.2, 118.1, 109.4, 108.6, 104.8, 101.5, 54.3, 45.2, 13.7 ppm. IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3005, 2990, 1739, 1616, 1597, 1533, 1499, 1490, 1453, 1387, 1310, 1276, 1260, 1170, 1109, 1028, 883, 751, 690 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{26}H_{21}CIN_3O_3$ [M + H]⁺ 458.12660, found 458.12607.

4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-3′-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-2″ oxo-2-phenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3 one (3r). Obtained as a white solid (81.4 mg, 83% yield), mp 133−137 $^{\circ}$ C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_{6} + CDCl₃): δ 10.53 (s, 1H), 7.67 (dd, J₁ = 1.0 Hz, J₂ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.50–7.43 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.21 (m, 2H), 6.87–6.82 (m, 2H), 6.55 (dd, $J_1 = 2.0$ Hz, $J_2 = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.51 $(d, J = 2.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 5.40 (s, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 2.11 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6 + CDCl₃): δ 171.3, 159.2, 148.5, 148.2, 144.1, 143.2, 137.5, 133.3, 131.3, 129.5, 126.4, 125.4, 123.1, 122.8, 120.4, 117.2, 111.5, 111.3, 109.1, 104.9, 101.6, 55.2, 55.1, 49.8, 13.4 ppm. IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3271, 2931, 2834, 1738, 1615, 1592, 1534, 1514, 1452, 1260, 1233, 1171, 1139, 1025, 931, 752, 690, 621 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for C₂₇H₂₃ClN₃O₄ [M + H]⁺ 488.13716, found 488.13663.

4″-Chloro-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-3′ phenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3s). Obtained as a white solid (92.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 149–152 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.14 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.18 (m, 3H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.06−7.03 (m, 2H), 6.62 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.44 (s, 1H), 2.14 (s, 3H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.4, 159.8, 144.4, 142.5, 136.6, 134.8, 132.7, 132.6, 130.7, 129.2, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 124.3, 124.0, 119.4, 109.1, 105.1, 101.7, 51.0, 13.6 ppm. IR (KBr): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 3251, 3006, 2991, 1739, 1616, 1592, 1530, 1452, 1409, 1303, 1276, 1261, 1169, 1149, 1091, 828, 765, 750, 698 cm[−]¹ . HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{18}Cl_2N_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 462.07706, found 462.07587.

4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-3′-phenyl-2-(p-tolyl) dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3t). Obtained as a white solid (84.6 mg, 96% yield), mp 69−73 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 + CDCl₃): δ 10.55 (s, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.26 (m, 5H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.02−7.00 (m, 2H), 6.83 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (s, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6 + CDCl3): δ 171.1, 159.0, 144.1, 142.8, 135.2, 134.63, 134.62, 133.3, 131.3, 129.9, 128.21, 128.15, 127.8, 123.1, 122.9, 117.2, 109.3, 104.5, 101.4, 50.0, 20.3, 13.3 ppm. IR (KBr): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 2986, 2923, 2895, 1738, 1616, 1591, 1536, 1510, 1452, 1262, 1169, 1089, 1041, 935, 884, 817, 764, 698 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd. for C₂₆H₂₁ClN₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 442.13168, found 442.13122.

4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-2,3′-diphenyl-2″-oxo-5-trifluoromethyldispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3u). Obtained as a white solid (72.3 mg, 75% yield), mp 78−81 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 8.09 (s, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31−7.24 (m, 2H), 7.19−7.12 (m, 4H), 7.05−7.03 (m, 2H), 6.66 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (s, 1H) ppm. 13C

NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.1, 160.0, 142.5, 137.3, 136.5 (q, ²J_{C−F} = 39.4 Hz), 133.7, 132.9, 132.7, 129.4, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 127.1, 124.4, 123.4, 120.6 $(q, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = -267.8)$, 119.2, 109.3, 104.84, 104.82, 102.0, 51.3 ppm. IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3244, 3006, 2990, 1735, 1618, 1593, 1537, 1454, 1401, 1276, 1260, 1176, 1122, 1043, 764, 750, 698 cm[−]¹ . HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{16}CIF_3N_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 482.08777, found 482.08611.

4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-2″-oxo-2,5,3′-triphenyl-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (3v). Obtained as a white solid (97.5 mg, 99% yield), mp 149−153 °C. ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.31 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.59–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.43−7.39 (m, 2H), 7.24−7.15 (m, 5H), 7.11−7.04 (m, 6H), 6.59 (d, J $= 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.62 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.7, 160.5, 145.4, 142.5, 138.1, 134.7, 132.51, 132.48, 132.3, 129.2, 128.8, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 126.4, 125.7, 124.5, 124.2, 118.5, 109.3, 103.7, 101.7, 52.2 ppm. IR (KBr): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 3023, 2990, 1738, 1616, 1592, 1573, 1529, 1490, 1453, 1375, 1275, 1261, 1171, 764, 750, 692, 673 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for C₃₀H₂₁ClN₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 490.13168, found 490.12976.

General Procedure for the Asymmetric Cascade Reaction of Arylidenepyrazolones with 3-Chlorooxindoles. To a vial containing arylidenepyrazolones 1 (0.11 mmol), K_2CO_3 (13.8 mg, 0.1 mmol), and squaramide II (2.8 mg, 0.005 mmol) in CH₃CN (0.5 mL) was added 3-chlorooxindoles 2 (0.1 mmol) at room temperature. The resulting reaction mixture was kept under vigorous stirring until the consumption of 3-chlorooxindoles 2 (monitored by TLC analysis). After completion of the reaction, the crude product was column chromatographed on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc 3:1) to give the corresponding products 4 as mixtures of diastereomers for which NMR data for the major isomer only were provided.

According to this General Procrdure. (+)-2,4-Dihydro-2,3′ diphenyl-5-methyl-2″-oxo-dispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″ indolin]-3-one (4a). Obtained as a white solid (38.5 mg, 98% yield), mp 118−121 °C. HPLC (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H, n-hexane/2 propanol = $90:10$, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, detection at 254 nm): major diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 20.6 \text{ min}, t_{\text{major}} = 8.7 \text{ min}; \text{ minor}$ diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 10.0 \text{ min}$, $t_{\text{major}} = 17.8 \text{ min}$; 84:16 dr, 74% ee for the major diastereoisomer; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +287.8$ (c 1.71, CH_2Cl_2); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.61 (s, 1H), 7.81 (dd, J₁ = 1.2 Hz, J₂ = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.35−7.29 (m, 5H), 7.23−7.07 (m, 5H), 6.86 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.57 (s, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 173.7, 165.3, 156.6, 141.2, 138.1, 130.33, 130.26, 128.9, 128.7, 128.2, 128.11, 128.08, 124.9, 121.4, 120.2, 118.9, 109.3, 49.5, 49.1, 39.4, 17.8 ppm; IR (KBr): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 3274, 3062, 1733, 1714, 1619, 1596, 1499, 1471, 1395, 1368, 1336, 1298, 1264, 1233, 1122, 1025, 754, 739, 693 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for C₂₅H₂₀N₃O₂ [M + H]+ 394.15500, found 394.15571.

(+)-3′-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-2-phenyldispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (4b). Obtained as a white solid (42.0 mg, 98% yield), mp 127−132 °C. HPLC (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H, n-hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, detection at 254 nm): major diastereoisomer: t_{minor} = 31.8 min, $t_{\text{major}} = 9.3$ min; minor diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 11.7$ min, $t_{\rm major}$ = 20.1 min; 86:14 dr, 69% ee for the major diastereoisomer; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ $= +180.9$ (c 1.77, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.67 (s, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.34−7.28 (m, 4H), 7.23−7.11 (m, 3H), 7.01 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 6.87 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 4.49 (s, 1H), 2.49 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.5, 165.1, 156.4, 141.2, 138.0, 134.1, 131.7, 130.0, 129.1, 128.7, 128.5, 126.7, 125.0, 121.6, 120.0, 118.8, 109.5, 49.2, 49.0, 38.5, 17.8 ppm; IR (KBr): νmax 3006, 2989, 1712, 1620, 1594, 1496, 1470, 1276, 1261, 1089, 1016, 907, 764, 750 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{19}CIN_3O_2$ $[M + H]$ ⁺ 428.11603, found 428.11615.

(+)-3′-(2-Bromophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-2-phenyldispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (4c). Obtained as a white solid (47.0 mg, 99% yield), mp 124−126 °C. HPLC (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H + IB, n-hexane/2-propanol = $85:15$, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, detection at 254 nm): major diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 23.6 \text{ min}, t_{\text{major}} = 13.5 \text{ min}$; minor diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 18.7$ min, $t_{\text{major}} = 21.6$ min; 87:13 dr, 47% ee for the major diastereoisomer;

 $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = +145.3 (c 1.48, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.81 $(s, 1H)$, 7.76 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.25−7.21 (m, 3H), 7.16−7.10 (m, 2H), 6.91−6.86 (m, 2H), 4.34 (s, 1H), 2.53 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.4, 165.4, 156.4, 141.3, 138.1, 133.0, 132.2, 129.83, 129.75 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 126.7, 125.6, 125.0, 121.7, 120.6, 119.2, 109.5, 50.2, 48.9, 40.0, 17.8 ppm; IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3006, 2989, 1730, 1711, 1620, 1594, 1499, 1470, 1275, 1261, 764, 750, 690 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for C₂₅H₁₉BrN₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 472.06552, found 472.06559.

(+)-2,4-Dihydro-5-methyl-3′-(3-nitrophenyl)-2″-oxo-2-phenyldispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (4d). Obtained as a white solid (43.0 mg, 98% yield), mp 137−140 °C. HPLC (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H + IB, n-hexane/2-propanol = $85:15$, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, detection at 254 nm): major diastereoisomer: t_{minor} = 40.9 min, t_{major} = 31.7 min; minor diastereoisomer: t_{minor} = 24.8 min, $t_{\text{major}} = 26.9$ min; 86:14 dr, 61% ee for the major diastereoisomer; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = +181.5 (c 1.78, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.68 $(s, 1H)$, 8.21 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.99 $(s, 1H)$, 7.77 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.33 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.24 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.15−7.09 (m, 2H), 6.92−6.84 (m, 2H), 4.57 (s, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.0, 165.0, 156.1, 147.9, 141.4, 137.8, 136.6, 130.5, 129.5, 129.2, 128.9, 128.8, 125.4, 125.3, 123.2, 121.9, 119.6, 119.0, 109.8, 48.9, 48.8, 37.9, 17.8 ppm; IR (KBr): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 3006, 2989, 1730, 1710, 1620, 1595, 1530, 1499, 1470, 1395, 1349, 1299, 1276, 1261, 907, 764, 750, 691 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for C₂₅H₁₉N₄O₄ [M + H]⁺ 439.14008, found 439.14040; m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{18}N_4NaO_4$ [M + Na]⁺ 461.12203, found 461.12220.

(+)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2″-oxo-3′-phenyldispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (4e). Obtained as a white solid (42.5 mg, 99% yield), mp 130−133 °C. HPLC (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H, n-hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, detection at 254 nm): major diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} =$ 22.2 min, $t_{\text{major}} = 9.7$ min; minor diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 11.3$ min, $t_{\rm major}$ = 26.9 min; 85:15 dr, 72% ee for the major diastereoisomer; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ $= +221.0$ (*c* 1.91, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ 9.91 $(s, 1H)$, 7.85 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.33 (m, 5H), 7.23–7.16 (m, 3H), 7.09 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (s, 1H), 2.50 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 174.3, 167.4, 159.4, 144.7, 139.3, 132.4, 132.1, 131.7, 130.8, 130.7, 130.4, 129.8, 129.6, 122.2, 122.1, 121.3, 111.2, 51.8, 50.9, 41.0, 19.0 ppm; IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3164, 3088, 1720, 1618, 1594, 1493, 1471, 1368, 1337, 1299, 1237, 1124, 1094, 1010, 830, 755, 741, 717, 697 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{19}CIN_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 428.11603, found 428.11691.

(+)-4″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-2,3′-diphenyl-5-methyl-2″-oxodispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (4f). Obtained as a white solid (41.0 mg, 96% yield), mp 158−161 °C. HPLC (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H, n-hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, detection at 254 nm): major diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} =$ 15.5 min, $t_{\text{major}} = 6.7$ min; minor diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 12.4$ min, $t_{\rm major}$ = 14.2 min; 2.4:1 dr, 45% ee for the major diastereoisomer; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ $= +9.1$ (c 0.7, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.73−7.70 (m, 2H), 7.40−7.35 (m, 2H), 7.22−7.17 (m, 5H), 7.10− 7.05 (m, 3H), 6.60 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (s, 1H), 2.15 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.4, 159.9, 144.0, 142.6, 138.0, 135.0, 132.6, 132.5, 129.2, 128.39, 128.36, 128.3, 128.0, 125.4, 124.2, 118.3, 109.1, 104.9, 101.5, 51.0, 13.6 ppm; IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3252, 2922, 1738, 1618, 1593, 1536, 1502, 1453, 1387, 1261, 1169, 1148, 884, 764, 751, 697 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{19}CIN_3O_2$ $[M + H]$ ⁺ 428.11603, found 428.11444.

(+)-4″-Bromo-2,4-dihydro-2,3′-diphenyl-5-methyl-2″-oxodispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (4g). Obtained as a white solid (46.3 mg, 98% yield), mp 149−152 °C. HPLC (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H, n-hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, detection at 254 nm): major diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} =$ 18.6 min, $t_{\text{major}} = 7.0$ min; minor diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 12.3$ min, $t_{\rm major}$ = 15.9 min; 2.6:1 dr, 40% ee for the major diastereoisomer; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ $= +21.3$ (c 1.08, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.39−7.35 (m, 2H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.20−7.18 (m, 3H), 7.16−7.13 (m, 2H), 7.06−7.04 (m, 2H), 6.64 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (s, 1H), 2.15 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta$ 172.4, 159.9, 143.9, 142.8, 138.0, 134.9, 132.6, 129.2, 128.41, 128.35, 128.0, 127.2, 125.9, 125.4, 120.6, 118.3, 109.6, 105.0, 102.0, 51.1, 13.6 ppm; IR (KBr): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 3060, 2926, 1738, 1613, 1598, 1535, 1501, 1447, 1384, 1311, 1300, 1262, 1168, 1141, 955, 907, 884, 777, 753, 732, 691 cm[−]¹ . HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{19}BrN_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 472.06552, found 472.06392.

(+)-6″-Chloro-2,4-dihydro-2,3′-diphenyl-5-methyl-2″-oxodispiro[pyrazol-4,1′-cyclopropane-3′,3″-indolin]-3-one (4h). Obtained as a white solid (39.1 mg, 91% yield), mp 127−131 °C. HPLC (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H, n-hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, detection at 254 nm): major diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} =$ 22.1 min, $t_{\text{major}} = 9.1$ min; minor diastereoisomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 11.1$ min, $t_{\rm major}$ = 15.9 min; 85:15 dr, 54% ee for the major diastereoisomer; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ $= +162.7$ (c 1.92, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.77 (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.35−7.29 (m, 5H), 7.13 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 3H), 6.85−6.81 (m, 2H), 4.56 (s, 1H), 2.50 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 173.7, 165.2, 156.4, 142.3, 138.0, 135.0, 131.2, 130.3, 128.7, 128.31, 128.27, 127.7, 125.1, 121.5, 118.9, 118.6, 110.0, 49.2, 49.0, 39.4, 17.7 ppm; IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3220, 3032, 1733, 1713, 1614, 1498, 1486, 1367, 1295, 1244, 1129, 1072, 908, 756, 740, 692 cm[−]¹ . HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd. for $C_{25}H_{19}CIN_3O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 428.11603, found 428.11702.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01940.

X-ray crystallographic data of 3g (CIF)

[Copies of](http://pubs.acs.org) ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR [spectra of new compoun](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01940)ds, HPLC chromatograms, the crys[tal s](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01940/suppl_file/jo5b01940_si_001.cif)tructure of compound 3g, and the H−H NOESY spectra of 3g diastereomers (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFO[RMA](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01940/suppl_file/jo5b01940_si_002.pdf)TION

Corresponding Author

*Fax: +86 10 68914985. E-mail: dudm@bit.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing fi[nancial interes](mailto:dudm@bit.edu.cn)t.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 21272024). The authors thank Prof. Paul R. Carlier for his valuable discussion and suggestions.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For selected reviews, see: (a) Varvounis, G. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 2009, 98, 143. (b) Schmidt, A.; Dreger, A. Curr. Org. Chem. 2011, 15, 1423. For selected examples, see: (c) Janin, Y. L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2007, 15, 2479. (d) Bondock, S.; Rabie, R.; Etman, H. A.; Fadda, A. A. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 43, 2122. (e) Hadi, V.; Koh, Y.-H.; Sanchez, T. W.; Barrios, D.; Neamati, N.; Jung, K. W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 6854.

(2) For selected reviews, see: (a) Chauhan, P.; Mahajan, S.; Enders, D. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 12890 and references cited therein. For selected examples, see: (b) Zhang, Y.; Wu, S.; Wang, S.; Fang, K.; Dong, G.; Liu, N.; Miao, Z.; Yao, J.; Li, J.; Zhang, W.; Sheng, C.; Wang, W. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 2015, 2030. (c) Hack, D.; Chauhan, P.; Deckers, K.; Mizutani, Y.; Raabe, G.; Enders, D. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 2266. (d) Yetra, S. R.; Mondal, S.; Suresh, E.; Biju, A. T. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1417. (e) Li, F.; Sun, L.; Teng, Y.; Yu, P.; Zhao, J. C.- G.; Ma, J.-A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 14255.

(3) (a) Alba, A.-N. R.; Zea, A.; Valero, G.; Calbet, T.; Font-bardía, M.; Mazzanti, A.; Moyano, A.; Rios, R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 2011, 1318. (b) Zea, A.; Alba, A.-N. R.; Mazzanti, A.; Moyano, A.; Rios, R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 6519.

(4) Liang, J.; Chen, Q.; Liu, L.; Jiang, X.; Wang, R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 1441.

(5) Zhang, J.-X.; Li, N.-K.; Liu, Z.-M.; Huang, X.-F.; Geng, Z.-C.; Wang, X.-W. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 797.

(6) Chauhan, P.; Mahajan, S.; Loh, C. C. J.; Raabe, G.; Enders, D. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2954.

(7) Han, B.; Huang, W.; Ren, W.; He, G.; Wang, J.-H.; Peng, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 561.

(8) (a) Liu, L.; Zhong, Y.; Zhang, P.; Jiang, X.; Wang, R. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 10228. (b) Chen, Q.; Liang, J.; Wang, S.; Wang, D.; Wang, R. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 1657.

(9) Cui, B.-D.; Li, S.-W.; Zuo, J.; Wu, Z.-J.; Zhang, X.-M.; Yuan, W.- C. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 1895.

(10) Li, J.-H.; Du, D.-M. Chem. - Asian J. 2014, 9, 3278.

(11) (a) Wang, K.; Fu, X.; Liu, J.; Liang, Y.; Dong, D. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1015. (b) Dorofeeva, E. O.; Elinson, M. N.; Vereshchagin, A. N.; Nigmatov, A. G.; Bushmarinov, I. S.; Nikishin, G. I. Synlett 2013, 24, 827. (c) Elinson, M. N.; Vereshchagin, A. N.; Tretyakova, E. O.; Bushmarinov, I. S.; Nikishin, G. I. Synthesis 2011, 2011, 3015. (d) Fu, X.; Huang, P.; Zhou, G.; Hu, Y.; Dong, D. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 6347. (12) (a) Barrett, A. G. M.; Kasdorf, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11030. (b) Charette, A. B.; Lebel, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10327. (c) Wessjohann, L. A.; Brandt, W.; Thiemann, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1625.

(13) For selected reviews, see: (a) Brackmann, F.; de Meijere, A. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 4493. (b) Wong, H. N. C.; Hon, M. Y.; Tse, C. W.; Yip, Y. C.; Tanko, J.; Hudlicky, T. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 165. (c) Kulinkovich, O. G. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2597.

(14) For selected examples, see: (a) Jiang, T.; Kuhen, K. L.; Wolff, K.; Yin, H.; Bieza, K.; Caldwell, J.; Bursulaya, B.; Wu, T. Y.-H.; He, Y. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 2105. (b) Rottmann, M.; McNamara, C.; Yeung, B. K. S.; Lee, M. C. S.; Zou, B.; Russell, B.; Seitz, P.; Plouffe, D. M.; Dharia, N. V.; Tan, J.; Cohen, S. B.; Spencer, K. R.; Gonzalez-Paez, G. E.; Lakshminarayana, S. B.; Goh, A.; Suwanarusk, R.; Jegla, T.; Schmitt, E. K.; Beck, H. P.; Brun, R.; Nosten, F.; Renia, L.; Dartois, V.; Keller, T. H.; Fidock, D. A.; Winzeler, E. A.; Diagana, T. T. Science 2010, 329, 1175.

(15) (a) Cao, Z.-Y.; Zhou, J. Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 849. (b) Cheng, D.; Ishihara, Y.; Tan, B.; Barbas, C. F. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 743. (c) Dou, X.; Yao, W.; Zhou, B.; Lu, Y. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 9224. (d) Cao, Z.-Y.; Zhou, F.; Yu, Y.-H.; Zhou, J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 42. (e) Noole, A.; Sucman, N. S.; Kabeshov, M. A.; Kanger, T.; Macaev, F. Z.; Malkov, A. V. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 14929. (f) Pesciaioli, F.; Righi, P.; Mazzanti, A.; Bartoli, G.; Bencivenni, G. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 2842. (g) Cao, Z.-Y.; Wang, X.; Tan, C.; Zhao, X.-L.; Zhou, J.; Ding, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8197.

(16) (a) Noole, A.; Ošeka, M.; Pehk, T.; Öeren, M.; Järving, I.; Elsegood, M. R. J.; Malkov, A. V.; Lopp, M.; Kanger, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 829. (b) Noole, A.; Malkov, A. V.; Kanger, T. Synthesis 2013, 45, 2520. (c) Guillaumel, J.; Demerseman, P.; Clavel, J.-M.; Royer, R. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1980, 17, 1531.

 (17) For selected reviews, see: (a) Alemán, J.; Parra, A.; Jiang, H.; Jørgensen, K. A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6890. (b) Storer, R. I.; Aciro, C.; Jones, L. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 2330. (c) Chauhan, P.; Mahajan, S.; Kaya, U.; Hack, D.; Enders, D. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 253.

(18) (a) Zhu, Y.; Malerich, J. P.; Rawal, V. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 153; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 157. (b) Yang, W.; Du, D.-M. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5450. (c) Yang, W.; Du, D.-M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 1241.